arXiv:1411.2719v1 [math.CV] 11 Nov 2014

Entire functions sharing simple a-points with their first derivative II

Andreas Schweizer[1]

Department of Mathematics,
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST),
Daejeon 305-701
South Korea
e-mail: schweizer@kaist.ac.kr

Abstract

We discuss some results around the following question: Let f be a nonconstant complex entire function and a, b two distinct complex numbers. If f and its derivative f' share their simple a-points and also share the value b, does this imply $f \equiv f'$?

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): primary 30D35, secondary 30D45

Key words: value sharing, entire function, first derivative, simple a-point, normal family

1. Introduction

Two meromorphic functions f and g are said to share the value $a \in \mathbb{C}$ IM (ignoring multiplicity), or just to share the value a, if f takes the value a at exactly the same points as g, or in other words, if the zeroes of $f(z) - a$ coincide with the zeroes of $g(z) - a$.

If moreover for every such common zero z_0 the multiplicity of z_0 as a zero of $f(z) - a$ is the same as the multiplicity of z_0 as a zero of $g(z) - a$, then f and g are said to share the value a CM (counting multiplicity).

Definition. Two meromorphic functions f and g **share their simple a-points** if the points where f takes the value a with multiplicity one are exactly those where g takes the value a with multiplicity one, or in other words, if the simple zeroes of $f(z) - a$ coincide with the simple zeroes of $g(z) - a$.

Note that this does not imply any sharing of the multiple a-points. So sharing simple a-points is weaker than sharing the value a CM, but it cannot be directly compared to sharing the value a IM.

The most famous theorem in the context of entire functions sharing values with their derivative is

[1]This paper was written while the author was supported by ASARC (South Korea).

Theorem 1.1. [MuSt, Satz 1] *Let f be a nonconstant entire function and let a and b be complex numbers with $a \neq b$. If f and f' share the values a and b IM, then $f \equiv f'$.*

See [YaYi, Theorem 8.3] for the same proof in English. See also [LüXuYi] for some later generalizations.

In [Sch], adopting the approach from [LüXuYi], we proved some partial results in the spirit of potential analogues of Theorem 1.1 for sharing of simple a-points.

Theorem 1.2. [Sch] *Let f be a nonconstant entire function and a, b two distinct complex numbers. Assume that f and f' share their simple a-points and also share their simple b-points.*

(a) If $ab = 0$, then $f \equiv f'$.

(b) If $a + b = 0$, then there are other solutions besides $f \equiv f'$, for example $f(z) = a \sin z$.

(c) If $ab(a + b) \neq 0$, it is not known whether $f \equiv f'$ necessarily holds or whether there are other solutions.

To conclude $f \equiv f'$, in general we need one more value.

Theorem 1.3. [Sch, Theorem 2.3] *If a nonconstant entire function f and its derivative f' share their simple a_j-points for three different complex numbers a_1, a_2, a_3, then $f \equiv f'$.*

Actually, in [Sch] we prove a version [Sch, Theorem 2.4] that is more general and slightly more technical than Theorem 1.3. Similarly, the following is a slightly more general version of Theorem 1.2 (a).

Theorem 1.4. [Sch, Theorem 2.2] *Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant entire function and $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}$. If f and f' share their simple zeroes and if every simple a-point of f is a (not necessarily simple) a-point of f', then $f \equiv f'$.*

Comparing part (b) of Theorem 1.2 with Theorem 1.1, sharing simple a-points seems to be somewhat weaker than sharing a IM.

So we will investigate a mixture of the two: For one value the simple points are shared, the other value is shared IM.

2. The main results

If one of the two values is zero, we get a nice definitive result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let f be a nonconstant entire function and $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}$.*

(a) If f and f' share their simple zeroes and share the value a IM, then $f \equiv f'$.

(b) If f and f' share the value 0 IM and share their simple a-points, then $f \equiv f'$.

Completing the picture for the general case means giving an answer (positive or negative) to the following

Question. Let a, b be two distinct nonzero complex numbers. If a nonconstant entire function f and its derivative f' share their simple a-points and also share the value b IM, does this imply $f \equiv f'$?

Currently the answer doesn't seem to be known. We cannot even offer a guess. So we know even less than in Theorem 1.2, where at least some counterexamples for the specific situation $a + b = 0$ are known.

The first part of the strategy in [LüXuYi] works nicely and gives the following intermediate result.

Proposition 2.2. *Let f be a nonconstant entire function and let a and b be two distinct nonzero complex numbers. If f and f' share their simple a-points and also share the value b IM, then f is a transcendental function of order at most one.*

Under some stronger conditions we can prove what we want.

Theorem 2.3. *Let f be a nonconstant entire function and let a and b be two distinct complex numbers. If f and f' share their simple a-points and also share the value b CM, then $f \equiv f'$.*

Example 2.4. A quick word on functions f that are meromorphic in the whole complex plane. In that setting it is known ([Gu] and [MuSt2]) that if f and f' share two finite values CM, then $f \equiv f'$.

On the other hand, the meromorphic function

$$f(z) = z + 2 + \frac{1}{4z}$$

and its derivative $f'(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{4z^2}$ share their simple 1-points and share the value 2 CM. This shows that it is not possible to relax the sharing condition for one of the values from CM to sharing simple a-points. So Theorem 2.3 does not hold for

meromorphic functions.

For the next result we need standard terminology from Nevanlinna theory. In particular, $N_{1)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$, $N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ and $\overline{N}_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ denote respectively the integrated counting function of the simple a-points of f, the integrated counting function of the multiple a-points of f, and the integrated truncated counting function of the multiple a-points of f where each such point is counted only once. So $N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) = N(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) - N_{1)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$ and $\overline{N}_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) - N_{1)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a})$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let f be a nonconstant entire function and let a and b be two distinct nonzero complex numbers. Assume that f and f' share their simple a-points and also share the value b IM. If $f \not\equiv f'$, then*

(a) $T(r, f) \leq 2N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) + \overline{N}_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) + S(r, f)$;

(b) $N_{1)}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) + \frac{1}{2}N_{(2}(\frac{1}{f'-a}) \leq N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) + S(r, f)$.

So if there is a $\lambda < \frac{2}{5}$ such that $N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f-a}) \leq \lambda T(r, f) + S(r, f)$, we can conclude $f \equiv f'$.

Coming back to Theorem 2.1: That f and f' share their simple zeroes is obviously equivalent to f' having no simple zeroes and all zeroes of f having at least multiplicity 3. So the following result generalizes Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 2.6. *Let f be a nonconstant entire function and $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}$. If every zero of f has at least multiplicity 3 and if every simple a-point of f is a (not necessarily simple) a-point of f', then*

$$f \equiv f'$$

or

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{4a}{27\beta^2}e^{\frac{2}{9}z} + \beta e^{-\frac{1}{9}z}\right)^3$$

with a nonzero constant β.

Conversely, every function of this form has the stronger property that every simple a-point of f is a simple a-point of f'.

From this we obtain another generalization of Theorem 1.2 (a).

Corollary 2.7. *If a nonconstant entire function f and its derivative f' share their simple a-points for some nonzero $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and if every zero of f has at least multiplicity 3, then $f \equiv f'$.*

Example 2.8. The function

$$f(z) = \frac{a}{2}(\sin(2z) + 1)$$

shows that in Corollary 2.7 the condition on the zeroes cannot be relaxed to multiplicity at least 2.

The following results show that some sharing conditions can force $f \not\equiv f'$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let f be a nonconstant entire function and let a and b be two distinct nonzero complex numbers. If every simple a-point of f is a (not necessarily simple) a-point of f', and if b is a Picard value of f, then*

$$f(z) = Ce^{\frac{a}{a-b}z} + b$$

with a nonzero constant C.

Corollary 2.10. *If a nonconstant entire function f and its derivative f' share their simple a-points for some nonzero $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and if f has a finite Picard value b different from a, then*

$$f(z) = Ce^{\frac{a}{a-b}z} + b$$

with a nonzero constant C.

3. A normality criterion

In order to prove Proposition 2.2 (and Corollary 2.3) we have to generalize a normality criterion from [LüXuYi].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of holomorphic functions in a domain D. Let a and b be two finite complex numbers such that $b \neq a, 0$, and let K be a closed bounded subset of $\mathbb{C}$ with $b \notin K$.*

If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $z_0 \in D$, $f(z_0) = a \Rightarrow f'(z_0) \in K$, and $f(z_0) = b \Rightarrow f'(z_0) = b$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.

Proof. With the stronger condition $f = a \Rightarrow f' = a$ this is Theorem 1.3 from [LüXiYi], and our proof follows their proof closely. So we will be a bit sketchy and mainly emphasize the points where one has to be careful with the more general conditions.

We can assume that D is the unit disk. If $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal, the family $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{f - a \ : \ f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is not normal. Then, by the famous Zalcman Lemma [Za], there exist

(a) a number $0 < r < 1$,

(b) points z_n with $|z_n| < r$,

(c) functions $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$,

(d) positive numbers $a_n \to 0$,

such that

$$f_n(z_n + a_n\xi) - a = g_n(\xi) \to g(\xi)$$

locally uniformly, where $g(\xi)$ is a nonconstant entire function on $\mathbb{C}$.

As in [LüXuYi] we see that the zeroes of $g(\xi)$ and the zeroes of $g(\xi) - (b - a)$ are all multiple. The only thing that is needed for this is that $f'(z_0)$ is bounded for all $z_0 \in D$ with $f(z_0) = a$ resp. with $f(z_0) = b$. (Compare also the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [Sch].)

Now comes the key point, namely showing that $g(\xi)$ never takes the value $b - a$. The proof of this is verbatim the same as in [LüXuYi], as it only requires the condition $f = b \Rightarrow f' = b$.

So we have deduced that g is a nonconstant entire function with one finite Picard value $(b - a)$ and one totally ramified value (0). As such functions do not exist, $\mathcal{F}$ must have been normal. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let a, b be two complex numbers with $b \neq 0, a$. Let f be a nonconstant entire function with $f = a \Rightarrow f' \in \{a, 0\}$ and $f = b \Rightarrow f' = b$. Then f is a transcendental function of order at most one.*

Proof. Taking $K = \{a, 0\}$ we see from Theorem 3.1 that the family $\mathcal{F} = \{f_\omega(z) : \omega \in \mathbb{C}\}$ with $f_\omega(z) = f(z + \omega)$ is normal on $\mathbb{C}$. By [Mi, p.198 and p.211] this implies that the order of f is at most 1.

Obviously a nonconstant polynomial f cannot satisfy the condition $f = b \Rightarrow f' = b$. □

4. The classical approach

Lemma 4.1. *Let f be a nonconstant entire function with $f \not\equiv f'$ and let a and b be two distinct nonzero complex numbers. If f and f' share their simple a-points and also share the value b IM, then*

$$T(r, f') \leq N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f - a}) + \overline{N}_{(2}(\frac{1}{f' - a}) + S(r, f).$$

Proof. Following the proof for the case $ab \neq 0$ in [MuSt] or [YaYi, Theorem 8.2]

we get

$$\begin{aligned} N(r,\frac{1}{f-a})+N(r,\frac{1}{f-b}) &\leq N(r,\frac{1}{f-f'})+N_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{f-a}) \\ &\leq T(r,f)+N_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{f-a})+S(r,f). \end{aligned}$$

Adding

$$m(r,\frac{1}{f-a})+m(r,\frac{1}{f-b})\leq m(r,\frac{1}{f'})+S(r,f)$$

we obtain

$$T(r,f)\leq N_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{f-a})+m(r,\frac{1}{f'})+S(r,f). \qquad (*)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} N(r,\frac{1}{f'-a})+N(r,\frac{1}{f'-b}) &\leq N(r,\frac{1}{f-f'})+N(r,\frac{1}{f''})+\overline{N}_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{f'-a}) \\ &\leq T(r,f)+N(r,\frac{1}{f''})+\overline{N}_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{f'-a})+S(r,f). \end{aligned}$$

Adding

$$m(r,\frac{1}{f'-a})+m(r,\frac{1}{f'-b})+m(r,\frac{1}{f'})\leq m(r,\frac{1}{f''})+S(r,f)$$

gives

$$2T(r,f')+m(r,\frac{1}{f'})\leq T(r,f)+T(r,f'')+\overline{N}_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{f'-a})+S(r,f),$$

which implies

$$T(r,f')+m(r,\frac{1}{f'})\leq T(r,f)+\overline{N}_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{f'-a})+S(r,f).$$

Adding the equation $(*)$ to this yields the desired inequality. □

5. Proofs of the main results

Proof of Theorem 2.1.
(a) This is just a weaker version of Theorem 1.4.
(b) Because of $f'=0\Rightarrow f=0$ we actually have $f=a\Rightarrow f'=a$. By [LüXuYi, Corollary 1.1] this (together with $f=0\Rightarrow f'=0$) implies $f\equiv f'$ or $f=a(\frac{A}{2}e^{\frac{z}{4}}+1)^2$ for some nonzero constant A. But the second possibility is easily excluded, as then f' has simple a-points (for $\frac{A}{2}e^{\frac{z}{4}}=1$) which are not a-points of f. □

Proof of Proposition 2.2.
This follows immediately from Corollary 3.2. □

Proof of Theorem 2.3.
If $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ we are done by Theorem 2.1. If not, we know from Proposition 2.2 that the order of f is at most one. But the famous Brück conjecture [Br] is proved for functions of finite order [GuYa, Theorem 1], which means

$$\frac{f' - b}{f - b} \equiv c,$$

a nonzero constant. Solving this differential equation, we get $f = \kappa e^{cz} + b - \frac{b}{c}$, where κ is a nonzero constant. So $f' = \kappa c e^{cz}$ has simple a-points. Because of the sharing the differential equation then gives $c = 1$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.5.
(a) By the First Main Theorem we have

$$m(r, \frac{1}{f'}) + N(r, \frac{1}{f'}) \leq T(r, f') + S(r, f)$$

and

$$N_{1)}(r, \frac{1}{f' - a}) + N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f' - a}) \leq T(r, f') + S(r, f).$$

Using

$$m(r, \frac{1}{f - a}) \leq m(r, \frac{1}{f'}) + S(r, f)$$

and

$$N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f - a}) - \overline{N}_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f - a}) \leq N(r, \frac{1}{f'})$$

in the first equation, and

$$N_{1)}(r, \frac{1}{f' - a}) = N_{1)}(r, \frac{1}{f - a})$$

and

$$2\overline{N}_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f' - a}) \leq N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f' - a})$$

in the second, and then adding them, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & m(r, \frac{1}{f - a}) + N_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f - a}) - \overline{N}_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f - a}) + N_{1)}(r, \frac{1}{f - a}) + 2\overline{N}_{(2}(r, \frac{1}{f' - a}) \\ \leq \; & 2T(r, f') + S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

In combination with Lemma 4.1 this gives

$$T(r,f) - \overline{N}_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{f-a}) \leq 2N_{(2}(r,\frac{1}{f-a}) + S(r,f).$$

This proves part (a).
(b) Using the sharing of simple a-points, the First Main Theorem and Lemma 4.1, we get

$$N_{1)}(r,\frac{1}{f-a}) + \frac{1}{2}N_{(2}(\frac{1}{f'-a}) \leq N_{1)}(r,\frac{1}{f'-a}) + N_{(2}(\frac{1}{f'-a}) - \overline{N}_{(2}(\frac{1}{f'-a})$$

$$\leq T(f') - \overline{N}_{(2}(\frac{1}{f'-a}) + S(r,f) \leq N_{(2}(\frac{1}{f-a}) + S(r,f).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.6.
We have

$$\frac{(f')^2(f-f')}{f^2(f-a)} \equiv \gamma$$

for some constant γ. This is shown in [Sch] in the proof of [Sch, Theorem 2.2], which is our Theorem 2.6 with the additional condition that all zeroes of f' are multiple. Up to that point, this additional condition has not been used in that proof.

If $\gamma = 0$ we have $f \equiv f'$. Otherwise we see from this differential equation that all zeroes of f have multiplicity exactly 3. So f is a third power of another entire function and, more conveniently, we can write

$$f(z) = g^3(\frac{z}{3}).$$

Plugging this into the differential equation and cancelling some terms, we get

$$\frac{(g')^2(g-g')}{g^3-a} = \gamma.$$

By (the proof of) [Sch, Theorem 2.4] this implies

$$g(z) = \frac{4a}{27\beta^2}e^{\frac{2}{3}z} + \beta e^{-\frac{1}{3}z},$$

which proves the first claim. Writing

$$f = \frac{2^6a^3}{3^9}u^2 + \frac{2^4a^2}{3^5}u + \frac{2^2a}{3^2} + \frac{1}{u}$$

with $u = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{3}z}}{\beta^3}$, we have

$$f' = \frac{2^7a^3}{3^{10}}u^2 + \frac{2^4a^2}{3^6}u - \frac{1}{3u}$$

and

$$f'' = \frac{2^8 a^3}{3^{11}} u^2 + \frac{2^4 a^2}{3^7} u + \frac{1}{9u}.$$

From this we see that $f = a \Leftrightarrow u = \frac{27}{8a}$ or $u = \frac{-27}{a}$. Moreover, $u = \frac{27}{8a}$ gives $f' = 0$ whereas $u = \frac{-27}{a}$ gives $f' = a$ and $f'' = \frac{23}{27} a \neq 0$. This proves the extra claim. □

Proof of Corollary 2.7.
Keeping the notation from the proof of Theorem 2.6 we see that $f' = a$ if and only if $u = \frac{-27}{a}$ or $u = \frac{27}{16a}(5 \pm 3\sqrt{3})$. But for the last two values of u we get $f'' \neq 0$ and $f \neq a$. So not every simple a-point of f' is an a-point of f. □

Proof of Theorem 2.9.
We trivially have $f = b \Rightarrow f' = b$. So by Corollary 3.2 the order of f is at most 1. But the only nonconstant entire functions of order at most one with Picard value b are $f(z) = Ce^c z + b$ with nonzero constants C and c. Since f has simple a-points, the sharing condition forces $c = \frac{a}{a-b}$. □

Proof of Corollary 2.10.
Theorem 2.9 for $b \neq 0$, and Corollary 2.7 for $b = 0$. □

References

[Br] R. Brück: On entire functions which share one value CM with their first derivative, *Results in Math.* **30** (1996), 21-24

[Gu] G. Gundersen: Meromorphic functions that share two finite values with their derivative, *Pacific J. Math.* **105** (1983), 299-309

[GuYa] G. Gundersen and L. Z. Yang: Entire functions that share one value with one or two of their derivatives, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **223** (1998), 88-95

[LüXuYi] F. Lü, J. Xu and H. Yi: Uniqueness theorems and normal families of entire functions and their derivatives, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **95.1** (2009), 67-75

[Mi] D. Minda: Yosida functions, in: *Lectures on Complex Analysis, Xian 1987, (C.T. Chuang, ed.)* World Scientific, Singapore, 1988, pp.197-213

[MuSt] E. Mues and N. Steinmetz: Meromorphe Funktionen, die mit ihrer Ableitung Werte teilen, *Manuscripta Math.* **29** (1979), 195-206

[MuSt2] E. Mues and N. Steinmetz: Meromorphe Funktionen, die mit ihrer Ableitung zwei Werte teilen, *Resultate Math.* **6** (1983), 48-55

[Sch] A. Schweizer: Entire functions sharing simple a-points with their first derivative, *Houston J. Math.* **39 no. 4** (2013), 1137-1148

[YaYi] C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi: *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions,* Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2003

[Za] L. Zalcman: A Heuristic Principle in Complex Function Theory, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **82** (1975), 813-817